

DATE: December 15th, 2016

THE SALT LAKE VALLEY LAW ENFORCEMENT BOARD MET ON THURSDAY, December 15th, 2016 AT THE HOUR OF 6 P.M. AT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE BUILDING, 3365 SOUTH 900 WEST ROOM 115B, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH.

TRUSTEES PRESENT:

CHAIRMAN JIM BRADLEY

TRUSTEE CARMEN FREEMAN

TRUSTEE MICHAEL JENSEN

TRUSTEE TRENT STAGGS

ALSO PRESENT:

JIM WINDER, SHERIFF

SCOTT JURGES, SLVLESA TREASURER

ANDREW KEDDINGTON, SLVLESA ADMINSTRATOR

KARL HENDRICKSON, LEGAL COUNSEL

<> <> <> <> <> <> <> <> <> <>

Chairman Bradley opened the meeting and welcomed everyone.

Item #1 – Public Comment:

Chairman Bradley states that there will be no general public comment this meeting, because every item being voted on, has a public hearing, therefore any comments can be stated during that time.

Item #2 – Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest

Action Requested: Identify Conflicts, if any

Discussion:

Chairman Bradley asked if there were any conflicts of interest from Trustees regarding any of the items on the agenda. There were none.

Item # 3 – Public Hearing - To obtain public comment regarding a resolution amending the budget of the Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2016 and ending December 31, 2016.

Action Requested: Conduct Hearing

Discussion: Andrew Keddington explains that the budget adjustments reflects the new expenses in regards to increased staffing for crossing guards in Millcreek, Herriman, and White City.

Councilman Bradley moves for public hearing, Mayor Freeman Seconds. All Voted Aye

Emely Miller asks the Board if there will be an opportunity to express her concerns in regards to where the services are being used.

Councilman Bradley states these public hearings are important as it gives the public the opportunity to express their concerns and gain information on why the taxes are being raised, and where the revenue from the taxes is being used.

Concerned Citizen asks where the revenue for the budget adjustment comes from.

Andrew Keddington states that the money for the budget adjustment comes from current revenue sources and will cover the increase.

Councilman Staggs states that the money will be pulled from the fund balance to cover the unanticipated expenses.

Councilman Bradley then states that the money to cover the budget adjustment isn't coming from the tax increase.

Action: Councilman Bradley moves to close the public hearing, Mayor Freeman Seconds. All Voted Aye. Public Hearing closed.

Item # 4 – Resolution: Adopt a resolution amending the budget of the Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2016 and ending December 31, 2016.

Action Requested: Adopt

Action: Mayor Freeman moves to adopt the resolution to amend the budget ending December 31, 2016, Councilman Staggs Seconds. All Voted Aye. Resolution adopted.

Item # 5 – Public Hearing: To obtain public comment regarding a resolution approving and imposing an ad valorem judgment levy tax on the taxable property in the Service Area for eligible judgments of March 1, 2016 thru September 15, 2016

Action Requested: Conduct Hearing

Discussion:

Karl Hendrickson states the definition of a judgment levy tax. He states that due to the projected tax revenue collection not equating to the full tax amount anticipated, the judgment levy must be used to close the gap, therefore not drawing from the SLVLESA Fund Balance.

Andrew Keddington then states that the judgment levy tax impact on the average household was 31 cents per year.

Emely Miller asks who is taxed by this judgment levy. She then says that she has already paid her property taxes for the year, so must she pay again.

Andrew Keddington states that this judgment levy will be paid in 2017 by homeowners in the District to sure up the difference between the budget, and the tax revenue collected.

Councilman Jensen then states that the large property owners such as Kennecott every year appeal their property value, therefore lowering the revenue that the District gets, and the

judgment levy makes up the difference. He then states that this is important as the budgets are constructed with revenue in mind, therefore we must generate the missing revenue.

Concerned Citizen asks if this is a one-time thing or is it continuous throughout the year.

Councilman Jensen states that this judgment levy tax is only required once a year.

Concerned Citizen states that from moving to the west, she has had her property tax increase substantially every year. By raising taxes this much, she states that some people are having to leave due to cost of living increases.

Councilman Bradley states that this is just a book keeping increase, and later in discussion we will discuss the issues with the tax increase.

Concerned Citizen asks if there was a carryover from 2015. If so he would like to know the amount.

Councilman Jensen states that he doesn't know the amount and starts to explain why the appeals happen and how the state tax commission deals with these larger corporations and their appeals.

Andrew Keddington then states that the judgment levy for 2016 was \$37,969.

Concerned Citizen asks if the Board of Equalization has made this mistake for a few years in a row and why the public isn't aware until a year later.

Griffin Bonacci asks why the fund balance isn't used to cover the judgment levy tax.

Councilman Bradley states that the fund balance is used in case something happens to the District in which the funds are needed. This is protected by the judgment levy, as it would be bad practice to cover the gap between revenue and the budget by using the fund balance.

Mayor Freeman states that the fund balance has been drawn from for multiple years to pay for certain things, thus shrinking the fund balance and leading to a cut in resources if not corrected.

Councilman Staggs states that the shortfall is only \$35,000 out of a \$30 million dollar estimated revenue income, thus shows how efficient the District operates in that \$35,000 has a substantial impact on the District.

Concerned Citizen asks how many properties are being taxed in the District.

Andrew Keddington states that there are approximately 70,000 properties in SLVLESA.

Concerned Citizen then states that he was confused with which agenda item that was being discussed, and his question was related to agenda Item #7.

Lloyd Miller agrees that the District deserves this money and possibly more. He then states that what frustrates him is that the money being taxed is through property, and with the older demographic on a fixed income this is difficult. He then states that it would be better if the taxes came from other things such as cigarettes or alcohol.

Councilman Jensen agrees but mentions that the State Legislature would have to allow the District to collect additional revenue sources. Dr. Edward Staley asks the Board if they have ever considered a tax cut. He then states that he has gone through the appeal process and if

the Assessor's Office continues to make mistakes every year they should be held accountable and the budget should be created from there.

Concerned Citizen asks if this shortfall happens every year, and that the judgment levy policy is included to help make entities budgets whole.

Councilman Jensen states that this is a mechanism used by the District to match the revenue to cover expenses without recognizing a shortfall every year.

Karl Hendrickson states that there are two snapshots required to keep entities responsible for bridging this gap using a judgment levy and not automatically putting it in their budget. This creates the impossibility of any taxing entity to misuse the judgment levy.

Terry Rollie asks how much of the \$35,000 do businesses account for compared to homeowners.

Andrew Keddington estimates that the commercial makeup of the District accounts for 30%.

Action: Mayor Freeman moves to close the public hearing on the judgment levy. Councilman Jensen Seconds. All voted Aye. Public Hearing closed.

Item # 6– Resolution: Adopt a resolution approving and imposing an ad valorem judgment levy tax on the taxable property in the service area for eligible judgments entered between March 1, 2016 and September 15, 2016.

Action Requested: Adopt

Action: Mayor Freeman moves to adopt the judgment levy, Councilman Jensen seconds. All voted Aye. Resolution adopted.

Item # 7– Public Hearing: To obtain public comment regarding a resolution adopting the final budget and approving an increase in property tax of 9.49% for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2017 and ending December 31, 2017.

Action Requested: Conduct Hearing

Discussion:

Mayor Freeman moves to open the public hearing, Councilman Staggs seconds. All voted Aye. Public hearing is open.

Andrew Keddington states that the goal of the 9.5% tax increase is to provide financial stability, fund the UPD market/inflation and provide new resources for SLVLESA. Of the 9.5%, 6% of the increase or \$1,790,704 will be used to provide financial stability eliminate the need to drawdown fund balance, 3% of the tax increase or approximately \$746,126 will go to fund the market inflation, and .5% of the tax increase or approximately \$298,451 will go to fund new resources for the District. By providing financial stability and covering market inflation, SLVLESA is able to provide the best services possible to protect its citizens and begin to provide new resources.

Sheriff Winder states that the new resources to be added will be officers, and that the UPD tries to maintain 1 officer per 1,000 residents. He then states that with the new growth, it is essential that the District funds new officers to maintain this officer per 1000 residents metric.

Concerned Citizen asks about the exact staffing in which the UPD has.

Sherriff Winder states that UPD is an organization with approximately 750 employees of which, 450 are law enforcement officers.

Mayor Freeman recommends that the fund balance projection be presented and a timeline of the past fund balance trends.

Scott Jurges states that the goal of the fund balance is to have two months' worth of operating cost in the fund balance which is nearly 16% of the budget. He then states that in 2016 SLVLESA will drawdown the fund balance by \$1.2 million dollars to compensate for raised expenses. Due to this fund balance drawdown, either taxes must be raised to compensate, or services must be cut.

Mayor Freeman asks, that if taxes are not raised, when does SLVLESA become insolvent.

Scott Jurges states that SLVELSA would survive through 2017, but in 2018 services would have to be cut due to the state statute of maintaining a minimum 5% fund balance

Concerned Citizen asks if efficiency has been looked at.

Scott Jurges mentions that efficiencies are always considered and evaluated to determine if SLVLESA is getting the best resources for the money. He states that SLVLESA hasn't raised taxes since the creation of the District in 2012, therefore there has been four years of inflation, in which the revenue hasn't been generated to cover these added costs.

Concerned Citizen asks if all the taxes collected in 2017 are used for the 2017 year. Or are they partially used on multiple years?

Scott Jurges responds that he cannot answer this question specifically because it is all dependent on how the budgets are set.

Concerned citizen then asks why the money is needed in 2018 and why is it being raised in 2017?

Councilman Staggs says that by 2018 there will not be enough funds in the fund balance by state statute and to pay for services, therefore the District will be insolvent. This is why taxes must be raised in 2017.

Penny Brooke asks the Board if it is possible that they entertain a lower percentage of a tax increase. Penny stated that she owns a lot with no building currently built on it, but is still paying property taxes on the empty lot. She states that because there is no structure, and no people living on the lot, vacant lot owners should get some sort of a break when it comes to paying property taxes for police services.

Councilman Bradley states that the law defines in the statute the property tax for undeveloped lots and therefore is unable to be changed by SLVLESA.

Councilman Jensen states that the State Tax Commission doesn't allow the District to decide based on undeveloped and developed lots, and that the property tax valuation must be taxed by the District.

Councilman Staggs says that due to the Kennecott slide and other unforeseen issues, the District gets the same amount of tax revenue every year. Therefore, other taxpayers must pay

more to make up the difference. He also states that cities in which use other taxing methods to fund police, have sometimes more flexibility to pay their police bills.

Councilman Bradley states that the contract cities still pay the same amount as the other partners of the UPD they just pay their police services via other city revenues.

Emily Miller asks if SLVLESA staff is including all the new homes being built. She then states that on her street in Sandy, there are no fire hydrants or lights supplied by Unincorporated Salt Lake County, and still the District is trying to raise taxes when there are no services being provided.

Councilman Jensen states that UPD provides all the policing for the islands in Sandy City, but the other infrastructure issues are dealt by Unincorporated County, which these issues can be discussed with either Councilman Bradley or Councilman Jensen, but are unrelated to SLVLESA.

Mayor Freeman responds to the question about growth with saying that as entities in the District continue to grow, the need for new officers continues to grow to maintain the service level within the community. He also states that the way in which officers are allocated isn't solely based on population, but other factors are taken into account such as high call volume areas.

Councilman Jensen states that the homes are taxed on 55% of the total valuation of the home. Therefore, the valuation for new homes must almost double the amount to generate the amount of revenue needed to pay for officers.

Steve Maxdell asks the Board about the exact procedure about advertising for the public hearing meeting

Councilman Bradley states that SLVLESA met the legal obligations to notify residents of the public hearing, but they may still not be enough.

Karl Hendrickson says that SLVLESA sent out parcel specific mailings and posted newspaper ads in the Salt Lake Tribune, Desert News, and the details for the public hearing were posted on the Utah Public and Open Meetings website and utahlegals.com website.

Greg Bailey states that where he lives police response times are slow and there is no proactive patrolling and no services being provided to his household.

Councilman Jensen responds by saying that it would be best for Greg Bailey to speak with Sherriff Winder about service levels and the service being provided to his area. Councilman Jensen also says that UPD is growing and that the UPD service model makes the most sense for any municipalities due to the combination of all police related services and the efficiencies that come with this service model. Through the combination of all the services, it allows officers to specialize in certain fields, therefore being more experienced and trained in this specialization.

Alan Soverts states that the way in which the structure is setup between UPD and SLVLESA and how SLVLESA budgets for services from the UPD is overall confusing. He then also says that it isn't necessary to hold 15% for the fund balance of SLVLESA because UPD has their own fund balance, and it is taxpayer's money sitting idle. He also states that due to SLVLESA's and UPD's fiscal years not lining up, this creates more confusion in the process and if it were possible to align them, this would be very beneficial. He also questions as to whether each

entity in SLVLESA is paying equitable amounts and there may some subsidization. Mr. Soverts questions whether there would be a way in which to show the efficiencies of the UPD rather than just the discussion of its efficiencies.

Councilman Jensen states the only way in which SLVLESA can operate is through the use of tax revenue anticipation notes (TRANS) therefore there needs to be a certain fund balance amount to cover the gap of borrowing so there doesn't need to be more than necessary amount of TRANS borrowing. He also states that because UPD's budget is done as a single budget, it requires each entity to be pay equitable amounts. Councilman Jensen states that it is almost impossible to switch SLVLESA's calendar year to match UPD's due to State Legislation and financial issues.

Samantha Miller states that in the last five years of owning her home in Magna, her taxes have risen each year with no explanation. She also states that with the amount of crime in her neighborhood is forcing good citizens to move. She would like the Board to give direction on how to change the current issues in her neighborhood.

Councilman Staggs says that due to Kennecott's property valuation loss and the demand for the service level of officers being the same, other property owners must fund the loss of property valuation to maintain the service level needs. He also states that because SLVLESA has never raised taxes, and the way in which the funding mechanism works, the average citizen's taxes could increase due to factors that affect centrally assessed.

Councilman Bradley states that when these entities in the District, such as Kennecott, property values decrease, people in the District must pay more to sure up the difference.

Councilman Jensen reminds citizens not to think of Kennecott as getting a break as they make up 14% of SLVLESA's budget with a very low demand of calls for service.

Linda Brewer claims that her property taxes have gone from four hundred and twenty dollars a year to over four thousand dollars a year and that her property taxes have become more than her original house payment. She also states that she is paying for services that are not being rendered for her small cabin that is considered recreational property.

Councilman Bradley states that he is sympathetic to her concerns but these cannot be solved in this public hearing.

Gary Bowen states that he is in favor of the tax increase, and suggests that SLVLESA and the Board educate the citizens on the benefits of the UPD. He says that the area in which UPD patrols is very fragmented and there are dozens of small islands in UPD jurisdiction. Gary states that he is willing to pay for the extra services provided by UPD.

Yves Jean says that amount of crime will continue to rise and therefore we must raise more funds for the UPD to combat these criminals. He also says that the UPD must have the funds to stay competitive with other police departments, if not, we will have second rate officers and jeopardize our security. He also says that if the UPD needs more funds then it cannot rely on the taxpayers to pay the increase. He states the way in which revenue is raised for the UPD is flawed, but the reason in why funds need to be increased is just.

John Anderson asks the Board if this is tax increase is something that will happen every year.

Councilman Jensen says that there is a plan that the Board will consider a tax increase to cover the amount of inflation, and a decision that will be discussed and determined each year.

Councilman Bradley says that if you do not raise taxes every year to cover inflation, you must raise taxes by a larger amount in the future to cover the inflation.

John Anderson claims that his property is a bunch of cliffs, and has no taxable value, but his taxes have raised from fifteen hundred dollars to six thousand dollars.

Councilman Bradley asks John if he has visited the Board of Equalization, in which John responds that he has, and that it was a waste of time.

Griffin Bonacci asks the Board, that if there is more revenue generated than the projected revenue generation where will this money go.

Councilman Jensen says that the extra revenue will go into the fund balance, and would be used in the future years.

Griffin Bonacci then asks for the percentage of increases laid out in the plan for 2018-2021.

Councilman Bradley states that this was in the discussion earlier, where it was decided that these increases would cover inflation, and would have to be discussed every year, and approved every year.

Concerned citizen asks if fixed income families played any part in the decision for the tax increase.

Councilman Jensen says that the Board of Equalizations looks at all the factors to someone's fixed income and can grant tax credits based on the factors. He also says that the Board does considered fixed income families and is sympathetic to their situation.

Mayor Freeman says that the Board takes every step possible to be sensitive and sympathetic towards fixed income families. He also says that every year this Board goes through the financial documents and reviews and debates a tax increase for the District while minimally impacting taxpayers.

Councilman Staggs states that the Board Members also live in the communities affected by the tax increase, and the Board Members are not compensated in any fashion, and this decision to raise taxes was not made lightly.

Griffin Bonacci states that at first he didn't understand the issues with the District, and the reason to raise taxes, but after further discussion it has been made clearer as to the intent of the tax increase and that the community has to pay for it.

D.W. Jones says that what is concerning is the new growth of a community doesn't make up for the new services needed. He then states that if there is any way that the 9.5% tax increase can be decreased in anyway, it would be helpful to residents in the District as the economy has been recovering for a decade. He also says that SLVLESA must first look at the funds, and then budget based on that, rather than budgeting and increasing taxes to raise the missing revenue.

Councilman Bradley says that we are discussing a tax increase for a required and vital service, and as stated earlier by SLVLESA staff, eighty percent of the budget is personnel, which means that SLVLESA needs personnel to function. Councilman Bradley also says that it is the Board's

duty to not raise taxes the lowest amount possible, but to provide an excellent service to the community that will serve and protect citizens.

Carl Thomas states that he has enjoyed participating in the public hearing, and states that for the taxes being paid, residents are getting many services. He says that his taxes in the last ten years have gone up, but he also says that everything else has also increased in price.

Steve Ricksen asks how the formation of the new city impacts the budget of SLVLESA.

Mayor Freeman responds that there will be no impact on SLVLESA's budget as the new city was in Unincorporated County before.

Councilman Bradley states that as long as they stay within the District there will be no impact on SLVLESA's budget.

Councilman Jensen says that if an area leaves the District, it will lose the revenue in which that area generates, but also will get rid of the expenses of that area.

Cheryl Shurtleff states that she was disheartened two years ago by the lack of service provided in Millcreek, and makes it difficult to justify being taxed more for this unsatisfactory service. She urges the Board to find another way to raise funds rather than raising property taxes.

Bill Applegarth makes a comparison between the Draper Police Department and the UPD, as Draper City and Riverton City both have very similar populations. He then states that the cost of being part of the UPD is approximately \$5,100,000 and Draper City's police budget is \$6,000,000. Mr. Applegarth points out that the financial benefits of being part of the UPD are not the only positive aspect, as with being part of the UPD you get more specialized services that a smaller more independent police agency cannot provide. He then states that the citizens of Riverton City are better protected by the UPD than the alternative of Riverton City having its own independent police force. Mr. Applegarth also says that the budgeting in SLVLESA and UPD is very well done, and provides the best service for the money. He says that the one frustration he has is the inability to find information online in regards to the tax increase and states that there needs to be more transparency. He also says that the portion of this discussion pertaining to the every year tax increases to cover inflation, have been portrayed as being an automatic increase, rather than stated as being a consideration, and this may lead to confuse the public.

Edward Staley states there has been incongruous statements on how the tax base is being expanded and how tax rates and revenue is being determined.

Mayor Freeman states that there aren't officers being allocated for every home, in fact most of the officer allocation is done through the analysis of call volume.

Councilman Jensen says that if the expenses of the new growth are more than the revenue generated by the new growth, then there is still an issue in funding the services for the new area. He also states that even before the houses are fully completed and part of the tax base, there are crimes committed in these developments and is something that must be allocated before revenue is even being generated.

Edward Staley asks what inflation rate is being used to increase the officers' salaries.

Councilman Jensen states that UPD uses a 12 year step program which includes raises of 2.75% every year. He states that there is significantly less people becoming police officers, therefore retaining officers is critical in providing the service level. Edward Staley asks the Board, if other areas of local government have had budget cuts.

Councilman Jensen states that the scope of UPD and SLVLESA is only police, therefore there is no way to know if other areas of government have had to make budget cuts.

Councilman Bradley states that this public hearing has been very unusual in many ways. He says that there has been much more dialogue on these issues, and it gives much more fairness to the people and the ability for them to voice their concerns and issues.

Action: Councilman Bradley moves to close the public hearing. Mayor Freeman seconds. All voted Aye. Public hearing closed.

Councilman Staggs states that there was a comment earlier discussed about there being two separate fund balances, and asks for Scott Juges to give some clarification on this issue.

Scott Juges says that SLVLESA is approximately 7.1% of UPD's budget, but the SLVLESA portion of fund balance is only about 6% percent, therefore UPD doesn't have a large fund balance that doesn't have claims against it. He states that this level of fund balance is fine for the UPD as it is regularly paid by its partners. He also says that due to the TRANS borrowing and the inconsistencies seen with SLVLESA it is imperative to maintain a healthy fund balance.

Councilman Bradley says that this is an interesting issue and may be worth some discussion and research in the future.

Councilman Staggs tells the public that the original discussion on SLVLESA's fund balance included the idea of a 25% fund balance to try and use less anticipation notes and possibly change SLVLESA's fiscal year. He then states that it was decided to maintain a 15% fund balance through the guidance of SLVLESA staff.

Scott Juges says that to change the fiscal year, the fund balance needs to be near 50% of SLVLESA's budget which is approximately \$16,000,000. He then states that this would be a very slow and costly process to reach this goal.

Councilman Bradley states that having a healthy fund balance leads to better interest rates.

Councilman Jensen says that everyone must remember that these two fund balances belong to two different entities of two different scopes and the needs for the fund balances are different.

Mayor Freeman states that this goes back to education, and educating the public on the duties of SLVLESA and the benefits of being part of a district. The public must be shown the impact on municipalities of either being part of the district or contracting through UPD.

Councilman Staggs states it is very difficult to ever entertain the idea of a tax increase. He says that he fully supports the UPD as it gives the benefits of local control through the ability of a municipality to choose their own precinct commander, and the larger scope of the UPD of sharing administration costs, and pooled services. He then states that while serving on the SLVLESA Board he has been critical of the funding mechanism which is in place and says that he believes that contract entities have an advantage over the District because they can possibly

use supplemental revenues to help offset these inflationary increases, which SLVLESA's funding mechanism doesn't allow. He says that Riverton City is looking at the issue of these annual inflationary tax increases, and potentially withdrawing from SLVLESA due to this issue. While Riverton City may leave SLVLESA, it will still contract through UPD for police services. Councilman Staggs says that next year, during the general election, there will be a vote to see if Riverton City stays within the District. He states that since the creation of the District, Riverton has had the same number of officers, and the cost has just continued to increase about 3% each year which has led to 19% increase overall, while the revenue in which Riverton collects has gone up 31%. These numbers show that there needs to be some sort of supplemental income to the model, if not, it will become problematic for residents on a fixed income. He says that in regards to Riverton City agreeing to this tax increase, there was an interlocal agreement signed by SLVLESA for asset allocation in the event that Riverton City leaves the District, in which Riverton City will receive their precinct and any fund balance in which they have accrued. Due to this tax increase, he states that Riverton City will have approximately \$500,000 more revenue each year than expenditures and because of this issue, it is imperative to have this interlocal in order to work with the partners of SLVLESA and not do any harm. With all these things in place in order to safeguard Riverton's future, the City Council, and the Mayor have all been supportive of the increase.

Mayor Freeman says he has pushed for commitment, and believes it is there, for the silo method to be reviewed throughout the budget process for future officer allocation in the District. By using this method, he states that it is able to give a full picture to the health of certain entities in SLVLESA and the ability to see their growth, revenue generation, and their expenditures. This will allow the District to maintain a global service type of format which is still needed.

Item # 8– Resolution: Adopt a resolution adopting the final budget and approving an increase in property tax of 9.49% for the Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2017 and ending December 31, 2017

Action Requested: Adopt

Discussion:

Action: Mayor Freeman moves to adopt the resolution, Councilman Jensen seconds. All voted Aye. Resolution is adopted.

Item # 9– Motion: Adoption of minutes from October 20, 2016 and November 17, 2016

Action Requested: Adopt

Action: Councilman Staggs moves to adopt the minutes from October 20, 2016 and November 17, 2016, Councilman Jensen seconds. All voted Aye. Minutes adopted.

Item # 10– Other Business

Councilman Bradley Adjourns meeting.

Meeting Adjourned at 8:41 P.M

MINUTES APPROVED:

By Jim Bradley
CHAIR, SALT LAKE VALLEY LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICE AREA

Andrew Kesterton
CLERK

BOARD APPROVED: 1-19-2017